IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 26TH JUNE 2007 / 5TH ASHADHA 1929

WA.No. 1484 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.28865/2006 Dated 28/02/2007
.....

APPELLANTS:
----

1. **KURIAN KURIAN,S/O.KURIAN, KALLUVETTAMKUZZHIYIL,KEERITHODE PO,IDUKKI DISTRICT**

2. **REJI PAUL,POTTANKULANGARAYIL,CHURULI, CHELACHUVADU,IDIKKI DISTRICT.**

3. **MATHEW ULAHANNAN,VETTUCHIRAYIL HOUSE, DO..DO...**

4. **KURIAKOSE EDAPPATTU,S/O.PAULOSE, KEERITHODE,IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

5. **ELDHOSE ULAHANNAN,VETTUCHIRAYIL HOUSE, CHELACHUVADU,IDUKKI.**

6. **PAULOSE NEDUNGATTUKUDIYIL,S/O.KURIAN, PAZHAYARIKANDAM,IDUKKI.**

7. **VARGHESE KANJIRAKKAT,S/O.KURIAN, CHELACHUVADU,IDUKKI.**

8. **ELIAS MUNDAPLAKKAL,S/O.KURIAKOSE,DO.DO.**

9. **JOY THOTTIYIL,S/O.VARGHESE, PAZHAYARIKANDAM,IDUKKI.**

BY ADV. SRI.S.VENKATASUBRAMONIA IYER(SR.)
SRI.P.J.PHILIP

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. ST.GEORGE ORTHODOX SYRIAN CHURCH, KATHIPPARATHDADAM,CHELACHUVADU PO,IDUKKI-685606, REPRESENTED BY ITS TRUSTEE @ S/O.SCARIA, AGED 48, RESIDING AT CHIRAKKACHALIL HOUSE,KEERITHODE, IDUKKI.

2. FR.K.K.VARGHESE,VICAR,ST GEORGE ORTHODOX SYRIAN CHURCH,KATHIPPARATHDADAM, CHELACHUVADU PO,IDUKKI-685606.

3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,(REVENUE DEPARTMET) GOVT.SECRETARIAT,TRIVANDRUM.

4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,PYNAVU,IDUKKI.

5. P.K.GOPINATHAN,ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,PYNAVU,IDUKKI.

6. P.E.VIJAYAKUMAR,REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,PYNAVU,IDUKKI.

7. THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE, PYNAVU,IDUKKI.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SANDESH RAJA
SRI.S.SREEKUMAR

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.
==========
W.A. NO. 1484 OF 2007
==========
Dated this the 26th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Radhakrishnan, J.

We have perused the judgment rendered by the learned Single Judge. We make it clear that whatever observations and findings rendered by the learned Single Judge will have no bearing on the issues involved in the civil suit and findings recorded are only for the disposal of the writ petition.

With the above observation, the writ appeal is disposed of.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp